

## **Inter-Departmental Communication Office of the City Auditor**

Date:

February 8, 2011

To:

Mayor Mark Funkhouser and Members of the City Council

From:

Gary L. White, City Auditor S.A. With

Subject:

Fiscal Year 2011 Third Quarter Citizen Satisfaction Survey Results

Council Resolution 090340 directs the city auditor to prepare quarterly citizen satisfaction surveys. The third quarter results for the Fiscal Year 2011 Citizen Satisfaction Survey are attached.

The survey was mailed to a random sample of 2,000 Kansas City, Missouri, households on December 1st. Between December 10<sup>th</sup> and December 28<sup>th</sup>, telephone surveys were administered to households that did not respond to the survey by mail. A total of 1,338 households responded. Survey results for the first quarter have a 95 percent confidence level and a margin of error of up to +/- 2.68 percent. Compared to the 2000 Census for the city as a whole, the quarterly survey respondents fairly represent citizens in the gender and race categories.


Once all four quarterly surveys are completed, we will analyze citywide satisfaction with city services. We will also compare the city's annual results to prior years' results and survey results from other metropolitan area and U.S. benchmark cities.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 513-3320.

## Attachment

cc:

Board of Police Commissioners Board of Parks and Recreation Commissioners Troy M. Schulte, Interim City Manager James Corwin, Chief of Police



## Kansas City Citizen Survey Results

All results exclude "Don't Know" responses. Results may not add to 100% due to rounding.

	FY 2010 Results N= 4,637	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results N=1,207	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results N=1,260	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results N=1,338
Major Service Categories	14-4,037	11-1,207	14-1,200	14-1,550
Q1a Overall quality of police, fire, and ambulance servi	ices			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	74%	75%	72%	74%
Neutral	19%	18%	20%	17%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	7%	7%	8%	9%
Q1b Overall quality of city parks and recreation progra	me and fac	ilitios		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	56%	58%	57%	59%
Neutral	30%	28%	31%	27%
	14%			
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	14%	14%	12%	14%
Q1c Overall maintenance of city streets, buildings, and	l facilities			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	22%	20%	24%	25%
Neutral	29%	29%	27%	30%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	49%	51%	49%	45%
Q1d Overall quality of city water utilities				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	58%	55%	49%	52%
Neutral	24%	24%	26%	27%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	18%	20%	25%	21%
Q1e Overall enforcement of city codes and ordinances				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	32%	37%	33%	36%
Neutral	37%	33%	38%	37%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	31%	30%	29%	27%
	.,	4		
Q1f Overall quality of customer service you receive fro			470/	400/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	48%	49%	47%	48%
Neutral	30%	31%	30%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	22%	20%	22%	23%
Q1g Overall effectiveness of city communication with t	he public			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	35%	32%	37%
Neutral	36%	36%	40%	36%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	31%	30%	28%	26%
Q1h Overall quality of the city's stormwater runoff/stor	mwater ma	nagement	svstem	
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	29%	34%	36%
Neutral	32%	31%	31%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	32%	40%	35%	33%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q1i Overall quality of the city's public health service	s	F		11.17.00
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	44%	43%	46%	48%
Neutral	41%	42%	41%	38%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	15%	12%	14%
Q1j Overall flow of traffic				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	48%	48%	46%	49%
Neutral	31%	33%	33%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21%	19%	21%	19%
Q1k Overall quality of airport facilities				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	74%	74%	73%
Neutral		20%	20%	20%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		7%	7%	7%
Q1I Overall quality of public transportation				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	37%	43%	45%	42%
Neutral	34%	32%	32%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	29%	25%	23%	24%
Q1m Overall quality of city convention facilities (Bar	tle Hall, Munic	ipal Audite	orium, etc.	)
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	55%	64%	62%	63%
Neutral	34%	28%	30%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	11%	8%	7%	6%
Q1n Overall quality of the city's 311 service				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	49%	54%	51%	55%
Neutral	30%	26%	29%	27%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21%	19%	20%	18%
Emphasis for Major Service Categories				
Q2 Major service categories that should receive the next two years – 1 <sup>st</sup> Choice	most emphas	s from city	leaders o	ver the
Police, fire, and ambulance services	19%	15%	19%	19%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	4%	3%	3%	3%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	35%	38%	34%	34%
Water utilities	5%	6%	7%	6%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	6%	6%	4%	6%
Customer service	3%	3%	2%	4%
Communication with the public	4%	3%	3%	4%
Stormwater management	6%	9%	8%	7%
Public health services	3%	2%	2%	3%
Traffic flow	4%	4%	5%	5%
Airport facilities	new in 2011	1%	1%	1%
Public transportation	7%	6%	7%	6%
Convention facilities	1%	1%	1%	1%
311 service	4%	3%	3%	3%
0.1.00.1100	1,70	0,70	070	0,0

			T-1072 - 1771	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011
	Results	1st Qtr Results	2nd Qtr Results	3rd Qtr
Q2 Major service categories that should receive the	e most emphasi	s from city	leaders of	Results
next two years – 2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice		o mom only	1044010 0	voi tiio
Police, fire, and ambulance services	7%	6%	9%	7%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	5%	5%	4%	4%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	25%	23%	22%	26%
Water utilities	7%	7%	8%	9%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	10%	8%	11%	8%
Customer service	5%	6%	6%	5%
Communication with the public	8%	8%	8%	8%
Stormwater management	9%	15%	12%	10%
Public health services	4%	4%	3%	3%
Traffic flow	7%	8%	7%	6%
Airport facilities	new in 2011	1%	1%	2%
Public transportation	7%	7%	6%	8%
Convention facilities	1%	1%	1%	1%
311 service	4%	3%	2%	2%
		070	270	270
Q2 Major service categories that should receive the	most emphasi	s from city	leaders ov	ver the
next two years – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	2.84		SAL BALL PAS	
Police, fire, and ambulance services	6%	7%	7%	6%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	7%	5%	5%	5%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	12%	12%	13%	12%
Water utilities	5%	8%	7%	7%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	9%	8%	10%	8%
Customer service	7%	6%	6%	6%
Communication with the public	12%	10%	8%	9%
Stormwater management	9%	13%	10%	10%
Public health services	6%	5%	5%	5%
Traffic flow	9%	9%	9%	11%
Airport facilities	new in 2011	1%	2%	2%
Public transportation	10%	8%	10%	11%
Convention facilities	3%	2%	1%	2%
311 service	6%	5%	6%	6%
				0,0
Items That May Influence Citizen Perceptions of the	City			
Q3a Overall quality of services provided by the City		, Missouri		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	43%	45%	46%	46%
Neutral	37%	36%	35%	37%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	20%	18%	19%	17%
Q3b Overall value that you receive for your city tax	dollars and fees	5		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	27%	30%	29%	33%
Neutral	32%	30%	32%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	41%	40%	38%	36%
7	1 1 2 4	13 /0	0070	20 70
Q3c Overall image of the city				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	42%	44%	42%
Neutral	31%	32%	31%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	33%	26%	25%	27%
The state of the s	9970	2070	2070	21 /0

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q3d How well the city is planning for growth				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	25%	26%	27%	27%
Neutral	35%	37%	39%	36%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	40%	37%	34%	37%
Q3e Overall quality of life in the city				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	50%	51%	55%	52%
Neutral	31%	32%	27%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	20%	17%	18%	17%
Q3f Overall feeling of safety in the city				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	35%	37%	36%	35%
Neutral	31%	31%	29%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	35%	31%	35%	33%
<u>Living in Kansas City, Missouri</u> Q4 Do you think you will be living in Kansas City, Misso	ouri. five ve	ars from n	ow?	
Yes	82%	84%	83%	83%
No	18%	16%	17%	17%
Public Safety Services Q5a Quality of local police protection Satisfied/Very Satisfied Neutral	62% 23%	65% 23%	61% 25%	62% 25%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	14%	12%	14%	13%
Q5b The visibility of police in neighborhoods Satisfied/Very Satisfied	48%	52%	400/	400/
Neutral	25%	24%	48% 27%	48% 26%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	26%	24%	25%	26%
Q5c The visibility of police in retail areas	2070	2470	2570	20 70
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	47%	48%	46%	48%
Neutral	33%	34%	34%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	20%	18%	20%	20%
Q5d The city's overall efforts to prevent crime				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	39%	41%	42%	40%
Neutral	32%	32%	33%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	29%	26%	25%	28%
Q5e Enforcement of local traffic laws				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	52%	49%	50%	51%
Neutral	31%	31%	33%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	18%	20%	16%	16%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q5f Parking enforcement services			riodulio	Noouno
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	46%	44%	48%
Neutral		38%	43%	39%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		16%	13%	13%
Q5g Overall quality of police services				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	58%	61%	60%	59%
Neutral	29%	27%	28%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	13%	11%	12%	12%
Q5h How quickly police respond to emergencies				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	58%	55%	57%
Neutral		27%	27%	26%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		14%	17%	17%
Q5i Overall quality of local fire protection and resci	ue services			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	81%	80%	77%	77%
Neutral	16%	17%	19%	18%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	3%	3%	4%	5%
Q5j Quality of local ambulance service				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	74%	76%	69%	72%
Neutral	22%	21%	25%	23%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	4%	3%	5%	5%
Q5k How quickly fire and emergency medical service	ces personnel r	espond to	emergenci	es
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	78%	70%	72%
Neutral		18%	24%	21%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		4%	5%	7%
Q5I Quality of animal control				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	42%	43%	40%	43%
Neutral	34%	33%	37%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	24%	24%	22%	23%
Q5m The city's municipal court				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	39%	38%	39%
Neutral		41%	46%	42%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		20%	17%	19%
Parks and Recreation Programs and Services				
Q5n Maintenance of city parks				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	52%	52%	53%	53%
Neutral	31%	32%	32%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	16%	15%	15%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q50 Quality of facilities such as picnic shelters and	d playgrounds i	n city park	s	
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	50%	51%	49%
Neutral		34%	34%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		16%	16%	15%
Q5p Quality of outdoor athletic fields (i.e. baseball,	soccer and for	othall)		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	39%	49%	49%	49%
Neutral	42%	36%		
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied			37%	37%
Dissatisfied/very Dissatisfied	19%	15%	14%	14%
Q5q Maintenance of boulevards and parkways				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	50%	51%	51%	53%
Neutral	31%	30%	32%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19%	19%	17%	16%
La Line State of the Control of				
Q5r Walking and biking trails in the city				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	43%	44%	46%
Neutral	33%	31%	34%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	30%	26%	22%	21%
Q5s City swimming pools and programs				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	32%	33%	35%	36%
Neutral	43%	41%	42%	40%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	25%	25%	23%	24%
Dissatisfied Very Dissatisfied	2570	2570	23 /0	24 70
Q5t The city's youth athletic programs				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	33%	32%	34%
Neutral	45%	41%	48%	43%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	23%	26%	21%	23%
Of the situal advit at late				
Q5u The city's adult athletic programs				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	31%	32%	32%	33%
Neutral	46%	45%	49%	44%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	23%	23%	19%	22%
Q5v Maintenance of Kansas City, Missouri, commu	nity centers			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	43%	44%	42%	45%
Neutral	42%	41%	44%	40%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	16%	14%	15%
Q5w Programs and activities at Kansas City, Misso	uri community	contoro		
			440/	400/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	37%	44%	41%	42%
Neutral	47%	42%	45%	41%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	15%	13%	17%
Q5x Ease of registering for [Parks and Recreation]	programs			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	40%	37%	42%
Neutral	48%	44%	49%	43%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	16%	14%	15%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q5y The reasonableness of fees charged for recreation	programs			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	39%	37%	39%
Neutral	46%	44%	47%	43%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	18%	17%	16%	18%
Communication and Leadership Services Q5z The availability of information about city programs	and convio	00		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	35%	37%	35%	39%
Neutral	35%	34%	36%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	30%	29%	29%	26%
Q5aa City efforts to keep you informed about local issu	ies			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	34%	35%	36%
Neutral	33%	34%	33%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	34%	32%	32%	29%
Q5bb Overall quality of the city's website				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	37%	42%	42%	41%
Neutral	44%	38%	38%	40%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19%	20%	21%	19%
Q5cc The level of public involvement in local decision	making			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	21%	24%	23%	25%
Neutral	35%	37%	40%	39%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	45%	39%	36%	36%
Q5dd Overall quality of leadership provided by the city	s elected of	fficials		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	16%	20%	19%	21%
Neutral	25%	28%	32%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	58%	52%	49%	46%
Q5ee Overall effectiveness of appointed boards and co	mmissions			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	16%	18%	21%	21%
Neutral	34%	36%	38%	37%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	50%	46%	41%	42%
Q5ff Overall effectiveness of the city manager and appe	ointed staff			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	17%	21%	22%	28%
Neutral	32%	37%	40%	38%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	51%	42%	38%	35%
Q5gg How ethically the city conducts business				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	17%	21%	23%	25%
Neutral	33%	36%	34%	37%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	50%	43%	42%	38%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Maintenance, Streets, and Solid Waste Services				
Q6a Maintenance of city streets				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	23%	22%	22%	26%
Neutral	27%	26%	27%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	50%	52%	50%	46%
Q6b Maintenance of streets in your neighborhood				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	35%	32%	33%	37%
Neutral	22%	22%	25%	23%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	43%	46%	42%	40%
Q6c The smoothness of city streets				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	22%	19%	21%	24%
Neutral	28%	29%	32%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	50%	52%	47%	48%
Q6d Condition of sidewalks in the city				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	22%	20%	24%	25%
Neutral	29%	28%	28%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	49%	52%	49%	46%
Q6e Maintenance of street signs and traffic signals				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	50%	53%	53%
Neutral	100	32%	31%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		19%	17%	17%
Q6f Maintenance and preservation of downtown Kan	ısas Citv. Miss	ouri		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	50%	51%	56%	54%
Neutral	35%	34%	31%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	15%	14%	13%
Q6g Maintenance of city buildings, e.g., City Hall				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	50%	51%	54%	52%
Neutral	39%	38%	37%	37%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	10%	11%	9%	11%
	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (			
Q6h Snow removal on major city streets during the			470/	4004
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	54%	43%	47%	48%
Neutral District Control	21%	23%	23%	25%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	24%	34%	30%	27%
Q6i Snow removal on residential streets during the				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	23%	29%	31%
Neutral	21%	20%	22%	21%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	46%	57%	49%	48%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q6j Mowing and tree trimming along city streets ar	nd other public a	areas		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	39%	34%	32%	38%
Neutral	32%	32%	32%	30%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	30%	34%	36%	32%
Q6k Overall cleanliness of city streets and other pu	ıblic areas			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	37%	37%	39%
Neutral	34%	35%	33%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	31%	29%	31%	27%
Q6I Adequacy of city street lighting				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	57%	58%	56%	56%
Neutral	27%	27%	29%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	15%	15%	16%
Q6m Overall quality of trash collection services				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	66%	66%	70%	700/
Neutral	18%	19%	17%	70% 17%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	14%		
Dissausified Very Dissausified	1570	1470	13%	13%
Q6n Overall quality of recycling collection services				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	67%	66%	70%	71%
Neutral	19%	20%	19%	19%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	14%	13%	11%	11%
Q6o Overall quality of bulky item pick-up services				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	39%	47%	48%	51%
Neutral	23%	22%	27%	24%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	38%	31%	26%	25%
Q6p Condition of catch basins (storm drains) in you	ır neighborboo	d		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2011	41%	40%	41%
Neutral	7.00 11. 2071	26%	30%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		32%	30%	31%
		0270	0070	0170
Q6q Timeliness of water/sewer line break repairs				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	35%	34%	34%	33%
Neutral	34%	32%	34%	27%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	32%	34%	32%	40%
Code Enforcement Services				
Q6r Enforcing the clean up of litter and debris on pr	rivate property			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	21%	24%	22%	25%
Neutral	29%	27%	34%	27%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	51%	49%	44%	48%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q6s Enforcing the mowing and cutting of weeds on p	rivate proper		rioduito	rtoounto
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	20%	22%	21%	23%
Neutral	27%	26%	30%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	53%	52%	49%	50%
Q6t Enforcing the exterior maintenance of residential	property			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	23%	23%	24%	24%
Neutral	31%	33%	37%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	46%	44%	39%	43%
Q6u Enforcing sign regulations				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	30%	29%	31%
Neutral	44%	41%	44%	41%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	22%	29%	26%	29%
Q6v Enforcing and prosecuting illegal dumping				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	20%	20%	20%	22%
Neutral	27%	31%	32%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	53%	49%	48%	46%
Q6w Timeliness of the removal of abandoned cars fro	om public pro	perty		
	new in 2011	28%	28%	28%
Neutral		33%	37%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied		39%	35%	37%
Respondent Experiences				
Q7a Were you or anyone in your household the victin during the last year?	n of any crime	e in Kansas	s City, Miss	souri,
Yes	15%	13%	15%	15%
No	85%	87%	85%	85%
	3370	0.70	0070	0070
Q7b Have you called the police in the last year?	200			
Yes	33%	33%	33%	34%
No	67%	67%	67%	66%
Q7c Have you called 311 in the last year?				
Yes	46%	49%	49%	51%
No	54%	51%	51%	49%
Q7d Have any members of your household attended opublic meeting in the last year?	or watched ar	ny Kansas	City, Misso	ouri,
Yes	38%	36%	37%	39%
No	62%	64%	63%	61%
Q7e Have you visited the city's website in the last year	ır?			
Yes	44%	44%	46%	48%
No	56%	56%	54%	52%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q7f Have you used the city's website to make any pa	yments in the	last year?		
Yes	17%	18%	17%	22%
No	83%	82%	83%	78%
Q7g Have you used the bulky item pick-up service in	the last vear	?		
Yes	46%	43%	41%	46%
No	54%	57%	59%	54%
Q7h Have you visited downtown Kansas City, Misso in the last year?	uri, for enterta	inment, di	ning, or sh	opping
Yes	62%	66%	66%	65%
No	38%	34%	34%	35%
Q7i Have you visited a Kansas City, Missouri, comm	unity center ir	the last v	ear?	
Yes	31%	31%	30%	38%
No	69%	69%	70%	62%
Q7j Have any members of your household visited an year?	y parks in Kai	nsas City, I	Vissouri, i	n the last
Yes	74%	70%	73%	71%
No	26%	30%	27%	29%
Q7k Have any members of your household received and Recreation Department programs or activities in			ity, Missοι	ıri, Parks
Yes	39%	38%	40%	42%
No	61%	62%	60%	58%
Q7I Have you used public transportation in the last y	ear?			
Yes	25%	24%	070/	
No	75%		27%	26%
	1570	76%	73%	26% 74%
Q7m Have any members of your household been to Missouri, city limits in the last year?			73%	74% City,
			73%	74%
Missouri, city limits in the last year?	a public librar	y within the	73% e Kansas (	74% City,
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a	a public librar new in 2011 place to live?	y within the 72% 28%	73%  e Kansas ( 73% 27%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent	a public librar  new in 2011  place to live? 65%	y within the 72% 28%	73% e Kansas ( 73% 27%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent Neutral	new in 2011  place to live? 65% 21%	72% 28% 67% 20%	73% e Kansas ( 73% 27%  67% 21%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent	a public librar  new in 2011  place to live? 65%	y within the 72% 28%	73% e Kansas ( 73% 27%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent Neutral	new in 2011  place to live? 65% 21% 14%	72% 28% 67% 20% 13%	73% P Kansas (73% 27% 67% 21% 12%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent Neutral Below Average/Poor	new in 2011  place to live? 65% 21% 14%	72% 28% 67% 20% 13%	73% P Kansas (73% 27% 67% 21% 12%	74% City, 73% 27%
Missouri, city limits in the last year? Yes No  Rating Kansas City, Missouri Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a Good/Excellent Neutral Below Average/Poor  Q8b How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a	place to live? 65% 21% 14%	72% 28% 67% 20% 13% children?	73% <b>E Kansas C</b> 73%  27%  67%  21%  12%	74% City, 73% 27% 69% 20% 11%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Q8c How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as a pla	ace to work	?		
Good/Excellent	59%	62%	61%	61%
Neutral	26%	23%	24%	24%
Below Average/Poor	15%	15%	15%	14%
Feelings of Safety				
Q9a How safe do you feel at home during the day?				
Safe/Very Safe	81%	85%	82%	83%
Neutral	13%	10%	13%	12%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	6%	5%	5%	5%
Q9b How safe do you feel at home at night?				
Safe/Very Safe	70%	73%	71%	73%
Neutral	18%	16%	18%	15%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	12%	12%	12%	13%
Q9c How safe do you feel in your neighborhood during	the day?			
Safe/Very Safe	78%	82%	79%	80%
Neutral	15%	12%	14%	14%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	7%	6%	7%	7%
Q9d How safe do you feel in your neighborhood at nigh	t?			
Safe/Very Safe	60%	63%	60%	62%
Neutral	21%	19%	21%	20%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	20%	18%	19%	18%
Q9e How safe do you feel in city parks during the day?				
Safe/Very Safe	59%	59%	61%	61%
Neutral	26%	26%	26%	24%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	16%	15%	13%	15%
Q9f How safe do you feel in city parks at night?				
Safe/Very Safe	13%	18%	16%	17%
Neutral	24%	23%	26%	23%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	63%	59%	58%	60%
Q9g How safe do you feel in downtown Kansas City, Mi	ssouri, duri	ng the day	?	
Safe/Very Safe	68%	73%	71%	68%
Neutral	22%	19%	20%	21%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	10%	8%	9%	10%
Q9h How safe do you feel in downtown Kansas City, Mis	ssouri, at n	ight?		
Safe/Very Safe	29%	33%	33%	31%
Neutral	30%	30%	30%	28%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	41%	38%	37%	41%

	FY 2010 Results	FY 2011 1st Qtr Results	FY 2011 2nd Qtr Results	FY 2011 3rd Qtr Results
Watching Channel 2				
Q10 Have any members of your household watched government cable television channel in the last year	Channel 2, Ka	nsas City,	Missouri's	,
Yes	new in 2011	47%	49%	50%
No		41%	41%	42%
Not available on my television		12%	10%	8%
· 2				
<u>Demographics</u>				
Q11 Do you own or rent your current residence?				
Own	83%	82%	83%	84%
Rent	17%	18%	17%	16%
Q12 Approximately how many years have you lived i	n Kansas Citv	. Missouri?	>	
Median number of years	35	35	32	31
			200	٠,
Q13 Respondent's race/ethnicity				
Asian/Pacific Islander	1%	2%	2%	1%
White	67%	68%	71%	69%
American Indian/Eskimo	1%	1%	2%	1%
Black/African American	27%	26%	21%	26%
Other	4%	4%	4%	4%
Q14 Are you of Hispanic, Latino or other Spanish and	roefn/2			
Yes	9%	8%	8%	9%
No	91%	92%	92%	91%
	3170	32.70	92 70	9170
Q15 Respondent's total annual household income				
Under \$30,000	30%	31%	30%	27%
\$30,000 to \$59,999	30%	30%	31%	31%
\$60,000 to \$99,999	23%	23%	23%	25%
\$100,000 or more	17%	17%	17%	18%
Q16 Respondent's gender				
Male	48%	47%	48%	49%
Female	52%	53%	52%	51%
How respondents completed the survey				
Mail	49%	56%	500/	E 40/
Phone	51%	44%	50%	54%
	3 1 70	44 70	50%	46%